

I: THE RATIONAL CASE

I'll start by recalling the rational theory as developed by Pollack and Stevens. The basis for this work is Stevens' central theorem, which is an analogue of Coleman's small slope classicality theorem for overconvergent modular forms. First, we need to define modular symbols.

~~sotekar~~: p be prime, &

Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$ be a congruence subgroup with p/N . $F \in S_{k+2}(\Gamma)$, $F(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$, $L(F) = \sum a_n$.
 Γ acts on $P^1(\mathbb{Q})$ by fractional linear transformations, and this action extends naturally to give an action of Γ on $\Delta_0 := \text{Div}^0(P^1(\mathbb{Q}))$.

For a right Γ -module V , we say a map

$$\phi: \Delta_0 \longrightarrow V$$

is Γ -invariant if $\phi(D) = \phi(rD)/r \quad \forall r \in \Gamma$.

Def'n: The space of V -valued modular symbols of level Γ is the space of Γ -Mv.

functions $\Delta_0 \rightarrow V$. Denote this space by

$$\text{Symb}_p(V) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta_0, V).$$

This is all very well, but this definition on its own seems a bit unmotivated, and the relation to modular forms isn't immediately clear. To make this connection, we pick some specific spaces V .

Definition: R ring, k integer. Define $V_k(R) :=$ space of homog. polys. rat degree k in two variables. (some right action)

Pollack and Stevens associate to a modular form F a $V_{k+2}(\mathbb{C})$ -valued modular harmonic 1-form.

Pollack + Stevens: let $F \in S_k(\Gamma)$. To F , we associate a $V_{k+2}(\mathbb{C})$ -valued harmonic 1-form ω_F , which can then be given $\phi_F \in \text{Symb}_p(V_{k+2}(\mathbb{C}))$ via

$$\phi_F: \{r^3 - rs\} \longmapsto \int_r^s \omega_F.$$

Example: in weight 3, $\omega_F := F(z) dz$.

Here there is some right action of Γ on $V_{k-2}(\mathbb{C})$, but I won't define it now; instead I'll define it implicitly later on whilst talking about overconvergent symbols! The action extends to $S_0(p) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : p \mid c, (a,p)=1 \right\} \subset V_{k-2}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\text{Hecke action}} \text{Hecke action on } \text{Symbol}(V_{k-2}(\mathbb{C}))$. This construction, then, associates a modular symbol to a modular form. The following theorem of Eichler and Shimura confirms that these modular symbol spaces really are worth studying:

Theorem: (Eichler-Shimura) There is a Hecke-equivariant isomorphism $\text{Symbol}_p(V_{k-2}(\mathbb{C})) \cong M_k(\mathbb{C}) \oplus S_k(\Gamma)$.

So this modular symbol space can hopefully tell us quite a lot about the related modular forms. But in passing from a modular form to a modular symbol, we have essentially "thrown away" a lot of the information of the modular form; a modular symbol is an entirely algebraic construct object. We still retain a lot of information, however; in particular, note that the modular symbol "sees" critical values of its L-Ramana.

Example: In weight 2, $L(f, 1) = \int_0^{2\pi i} f(z) dz = \phi_f(0-\infty)$.

In particular, it's reasonable to think that there is some connection to the p-adic L-Ramana.

To find this connection, Stevens introduced overconvergent modular symbols. To define them, I'll have to give a few definitions from p-adic analysis to find spaces to use as coefficient modules.

Let \mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}_p finite.

Def'n: Define $A[\mathbb{F}] = \left\{ f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n : a_n \in \mathbb{F}, a_0 \neq 0 \right\}$.

Let $\Sigma_c(p) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \otimes^k M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) : ad-bc \neq 0, p \mid c, (a,p)=1 \right\}$.

(In particular, note that this group contains the matrices we require for a Hecke action and an action of an congruence subgroup.) $\Sigma_c(p)$ acts on A by

$$\Sigma_c(p) \ni \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot f(x) = (a+cx)^k f\left(\frac{b+dx}{a+cx}\right).$$

This action makes sense as $p \mid c$; this is essential! Note now that we denote this space equipped with this action by A_c .

Note that we can identify V_k with a one variable polynomial space, so

$$\text{Note: } V_k(L) \subset A_k(L),$$

$V_k(L)$ preserved by $\Sigma_c(p)$.

In particular we've defined a left-action of $\Sigma_c(p)$ on $V_k(L)$. When we dualise this, we obtain a right action of Γ , as planned.

→ right action of $\Sigma_c(p)$ (hence Γ) on $V_k^*(L)$

Dual: Taking the dual of A gives us our distribution space:

$$\text{Def'n: } D_n(L) = \text{Hom}_{cts}(A_n(L), L);$$

$$D_n(L) \supseteq \Sigma_c(p) \text{ by } u/\sigma(f) = u(\sigma \cdot f).$$

Indeed, dualising the inclusion of V_k into A_k gives a $\Sigma_c(p)$ -equivariant surjection

Fact: $\exists \Sigma_c(p)$ -equi. surj.

$$D_n(L) \longrightarrow V_k^*(L),$$

which then gives a $\Sigma_c(p)$ -equivariant surjection

$$\rightsquigarrow p: \text{Symb}_p(D_n(L)) \longrightarrow \text{Symb}_p(V_k^*(L)).$$

Def'n: The space of overconvergent mod. symbols is $\text{Symb}_p(D_n(L))$.

The map p has a huge kernel.

The main component of Pollack & Stevens' work is the following central theorem, which "controls" the kernel on suitable subspaces

Theorem: (Stevens): Let $\lambda \in L^\times$, $v(L) < k+1$. Then the restriction of p to the λ -subspaces of the \mathcal{U}_p -operator is an isomorphism:

$$p: \text{Symb}_p(D_n(L))^{U_p=\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Symb}_p(V_k^*(L))^{U_p=\lambda}.$$

This should be seen as a modular symbol analogue of Coleman's small classifiability theorem, which says that a small slope overconvergent eigenform is in fact classical.

The central theorem tells us the p-adic L-function. We start with a cuspidal eigenform f with small slope at p .

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{gives} \\ \text{The central theorem tells us the } p\text{-adic L-function. We start with a cuspidal eigenform} \\ f \text{ with small slope at } p. \end{array}$$

$$S_n(\Gamma) \ni f \xrightarrow{\quad} \Phi_p \in \text{Sym}_p(D_{k-2})$$

Theorem: $\Phi_p(0-\infty)|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$ is the p-adic L-function of f .

There is a little extra work to be done here. Firstly, we need to prove that this distribution is admissible, or tempered. This is a fairly formal calculation using the fact that Φ_p is an eigensymbol. It's straightforward to see that Φ_p has the correct L-values. The main part of the work, though, as I said, is in this central theorem.

II: THE BIANCHI CASE

Everything I've said so far is well-known. I'm now going to talk about the case that I've been working on, that is, the case of modular forms over imaginary quadratic fields. These are also known as Bianchi modular forms.

First, some notation:

of class number 1

Let: K/\mathbb{Q} imaginary quadratic, with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K , adele ring A_K ; Let p be a prime.
Let $\eta \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ with $(p) \mid \eta$, $\Gamma := \Gamma_0(\eta) \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ congruence subgroup.

Def'n: A Bianchi modular form of weight $k+2$ and level Γ is an adelic automorphic form

$$\Phi: \mathrm{GL}_2(A_K) \longrightarrow V_{k+2}(\mathbb{C})$$

where here we recall that $V_{k+2}(\mathbb{C})$ is a homogeneous polynomial space.

In the rational case, we can unpack the definition of "adelic automorphic forms" to get the more familiar definition of functions on the upper half plane. In the same way, there is an alternative way of viewing Bianchi modular forms.

Define upper half-space $\mathcal{H}_3 := \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) / \mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : z \in \mathbb{C}, t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \right\}$.

We can view a Bianchi modular form Φ as a function

$$f: \mathcal{H}_3 \longrightarrow V_{k+2}(\mathbb{C})$$

(Note that there is an obvious action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ on \mathcal{H}_3).

satisfying suitable transformation properties under \mathbb{Z} .

$$f(\gamma(z,t), (\tau)) = f(z, t), \left(\frac{cz+d}{az+b} - \frac{c}{z+d} \right)(\tau) \quad \forall \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$$

I won't elaborate on the details as to where this comes from; except the details won't be used anywhere in the rest of the talk. Rather than elaborating on the theory, I'll just state some of the relevant properties we'll use about them.

- 1) There is a good theory of Hecke operators, indexed by the primes of K .

2) A BMF has a Fourier expansion in terms of K-Bessel functions, w/
Fourier coefficients $c(I, F)$ that can be viewed as a function on integers/radics of K .

3) Using we define: $L(F, s) := \sum_{0 \neq I \in \mathcal{O}_K} c(I, F) N(I)^{-s}$

4) a) (The Clebsch-Gordan formula gives an) injection of $SU_2(\mathbb{C})$ -modules

$$V_{2k+2}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow V_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V_k(\mathbb{C})$$

b) $V_k(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{H}_3, \mathbb{C})$ (The space of differential 1-forms on upper half-space is isomorphic to a polynomial space).

And, combining these, we get

c) We can define a $V_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V_k(\mathbb{C})$ -valued harmonic differential 1-form w_F on \mathcal{H}_3
associated to F .

This differential allows us to define a modular symbol attached to F .

Def'n: we define $\phi_F : \{\gamma\} - \{\gamma_S\} \mapsto \int_\gamma w_F \in V_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V_k(\mathbb{C})$.

It is an elementary check to show that this is a well-defined Γ -invariant Riemannian degree 0
divisor on cusps, and hence we have

Fact: $\phi_F \in \text{Sym}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V_k(\mathbb{C}))$.

With that in mind, the following definition makes sense.

Def'n: The space of Bianchi Modular Symbols is

$$\text{Sym}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_k^*(\mathbb{C}) \otimes V_k^*(\mathbb{C}))$$

Before moving on to define overconvergent Bianchi modular symbols and look at a control theorem, it's worth mentioning that there is a link between the modular symbol attached to F and the critical values of twists of its L-function, just as in the rational case. This will give necessary if there is to be a connection to p-adic L-functions.

3.2.2: Overconvergent Bruhat MS

We're given a BMF \mathcal{F} , we can attach a $V_k \otimes V_k$ -valued modular symbol.

Before the values of this modular symbol can be padically interpolated once we're divided by appropriate periods of the modular form, so that we have:

Fact: we can think of $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}}$ as living in $\text{Symb}_p(V_k^{(c)} \otimes V_k(L))$, where $L \otimes_p$ is some Tate ext.

Now, we can identify $V_k \otimes V_k$ with $A_k \otimes A_k$.

$$V_k \otimes V_k \subset A_k^{(c)} \otimes A_k(L)$$

in much the same way as before. The action of $\Sigma_0(p)$ on $A_k(L)$ extends in a natural way to give an action of $\Sigma_0(p)^{\pm}$ on $A_k(L) \otimes A_k(L)$.

Notation: write $V_{k,\pm}(L) := V_k(L) \otimes V_k(L)$, $A_{k,\pm}(L) := A_k(L) \otimes A_k(L)$.

Define $D_{k,\pm}(L) := \text{Hom}(A_{k,\pm}(L), L)$. Then by dualising the inclusion of $V_{k,\pm}$ into $A_{k,\pm}$, we obtain

Fact: \exists a $\Sigma_0(p)^{\pm}$ -equivariant surjection

$$\pi: D_{k,\pm}(L) \rightarrow V_{k,\pm}^*(L).$$

It's natural, then, to define

Def'n: The space of overconvergent Bruhat Modular symbols is
 $\text{Symb}_p(D_{k,\pm}(L))$.

The surjection defined above now gives a surjection from overconvergent symbols to classical symbols, which is the analogue of the specialisation map from the rational case. There is an analogue of the central measure in this setting, too.

Theorem: (W) Let $\lambda \in L^\times$ satisfy $v(\lambda) < k+1$. Then the restriction of the specialisation map to the λ -eigenspaces of the U_p operator is an isomorphism.

$$\text{Symb}_p(D_{k,\pm}(L))^{U_p=\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Symb}_p(V_{k,\pm}^*(L))^{U_p=\lambda}.$$

There is a subtler result in the case that p splits in K .

(w)
Theorem: Let $p|O_K = p\bar{p}$, then and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in L^\times$ with $v(\lambda_1), v(\lambda_2) < k+1$. Then

the restriction of the specialization map

$$S_{\text{unbr}}(D_{n,k}(L))^{u_p=\lambda_1, u_{\bar{p}}=\lambda_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} S_{\text{unbr}}(V_{n,k}(L))^{u_p=\lambda_1, u_{\bar{p}}=\lambda_2}$$

is an isomorphism.

If there's time, I'll say a quick word about the proof of this theorem, but beforehand, I'll just state some of the consequences. We have similar results about admissibility of the lifted distributions, for which the proof is ^{just} formal manipulation. If we combine this admissibility result with the L-function interpolation property of the classical modular symbol, we have:

smallest cuspidal eigenform
Corollary: Let Φ be a [Branch] of weight $k+2$ and level Γ , with associated eigensymbol Φ_F .

Lift Φ_F to an overconvergent symbol Ψ_F . Then

$$\Psi_F(\gamma_0\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\bar{\gamma}_0)/(\gamma_0\bar{\gamma}\gamma\bar{\gamma}_0)$$

is the p -adic L-function of F .