

REAL QUADRATIC SINGULAR MODULI

Recap of what we've seen so far:

CM theory

$K = \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ imag. quad. field.

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$$

$\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ CM pt

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathcal{H}$$

Heegropt $(\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_\tau, \eta^{-1}/\mathcal{O}_\tau) \in X_0(N) \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}(t))$

RM theory

$K = \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ real quad field, p inert

$$\mathcal{H}_p = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

$\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p$ RM point

$$\Gamma := SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) \subset \mathcal{H}_p$$

... $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is too small!
... but that's too big...

State-Heegro pt [fill in later]

$j = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -inv. mero. fn on \mathcal{H}

$j(\tau) \in$ algebraic extn H_τ of K

$$p \mid j(\tau_1) - j(\tau_2) \Rightarrow p \mid \frac{D_1 D_2 - x^2}{4} > 0$$

?

El: Rigid meromorphic cocycles

Want: analogue of $j := SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant mero. fn on \mathcal{H} .

Guess: find $J =$ an $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$ -inv. rigid mero. fn on \mathcal{H}_p .

... but $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$ -invariance is much too strong:

$\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p \rightsquigarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) \cdot \tau$ is dense in \mathcal{H}_p (rigid topology)

$\rightsquigarrow J$ is constant on a dense set

$\rightsquigarrow J$ is constant!!

Reinterpretation: $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}^x :=$ meromorphic fns on \mathcal{H} ,
 $\mathcal{M}^x :=$ rigid meromorphic fns on \mathcal{H}_p

Then $j \in H^0(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}^x)$,
 $\&$ $H^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^x) = \mathbb{C}_p^x$ constants.

Daman-Vonk: Consider $H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^x)$ instead!
 \hookrightarrow same approach as for Stark-Heegner pt

Def'n: Let $\Gamma_{\infty} \subset \Gamma$ be the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices. Let
 $H_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^x) := \ker(\mathrm{res}: H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^x) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_{\infty}, \mathcal{M}^x)/\mathbb{C}_p^x)$
 the subgroup of quasi-parabolic classes.

Def'n: A rigid meromorphic cocycle is a cocycle $J: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^x$ st.
 $[J] \in H_{\mathbb{C}}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^x)$.

Explicitly: J is a function

$$J: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^x$$

st:

- 1) $J(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = J(\gamma_1) \times \gamma_1 J(\gamma_2) \quad \forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$,
- 2) $J|_{\Gamma_{\infty}}$ is constant, i.e. $\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p^x$ s.t. $J(\gamma) = \alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma_{\infty}$.

Q: Given such a J , how do we get "canonical" numbers attached to an RMPt $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_p$?

Lemma: $\mathrm{Stab}_p(\tau)$ is cyclic rank 1, i.e. $\exists \gamma_{\tau} \in \Gamma$ s.t. $\mathrm{Stab}_p(\tau) = \langle \gamma_{\tau} \rangle$.

→ can consider $J(\gamma_\tau) \in \mathcal{M}^*$, and then

$$J[\tau] := J(\gamma_\tau)(\tau) \in \mathbb{C}_p \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Lemma: $J[\tau]$ depends only on the class of J in $H_f^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^*)$.

Proof: If J' is a different representative, then $J' = J \cdot c$, c a coboundary, i.e. $\exists f \in \mathcal{M}^*$ st.

$$c(\gamma) = \gamma^f / f.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} J'[\tau] &= (J \cdot c)(\gamma_\tau)(\tau) \\ &= J(\gamma_\tau)(\tau) \cdot \gamma_\tau^f / f(\tau) = J[\tau] \cdot \frac{f(\gamma_\tau \tau)}{f(\tau)} \\ &= J[\tau]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Conjecture: (Damon-Venk) J rigid mono. cocycle, τ RM pt, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$. Then

$J[\tau]$ is algebraic. Moreover

$$J[\tau] \in H_J H_\tau.$$

Here: - $H_\tau :=$ ring class field attached to τ

= abelian extn of K w/ $\text{Gal}(H_\tau/K) \cong \text{Pic}^+(\mathcal{O}_\tau)$,

$$\mathcal{O}_\tau := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]) : a\tau + b = c\tau^2 + d \right\}$$

$$\longleftrightarrow K \quad \text{via} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto c\tau + d$$

numerical evidence suggests H_J is not necessary...

- $H_J =$ "field of def'n of J " = finite composition of H_σ 's, $\sigma \in \text{Poles}(J \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix})$.

... remarkable thing: algebraic, in a naturally occurring way.

ZZ: Rigid meromorphic period functions

Q: How do we classify r.mero. cocycles?

Def'n: Let $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. Let

$$\mathcal{R}^x := \left\{ J(s) : [J] \in H^1_c(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^x), J|_{\Gamma_\omega} \in \mathbb{C}_\Gamma^x \right\} \\ \subset \mathcal{M}^x.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{R}^x$, we call it a rigid meromorphic period function.

Fact: elements in \mathcal{R}^x satisfy simple relations:

$$j\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) = j(z)^{-1}, \quad j\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = j(z), \quad \frac{j(z+1)}{j(z)} = j\left(-\frac{z+1}{z}\right).$$

Idea: classify \mathcal{R}^x , and use this to describe \mathcal{M}^x .

→ closely parallel to a classical theory of rational period functions.

Example: 1) τ RM point,

$$\Sigma_\tau := \left\{ \omega \in \Gamma\tau : N_{K/\mathbb{C}}(\omega) < 0 \right\} \\ \subset \Gamma\tau \text{ discrete.$$

(contains only finitely many \mathbb{Z} -translates).

2) If $\omega \in \Sigma_\tau$, let

$$t_\omega(z) := \begin{cases} z - \omega & : |\omega| \leq 1 \\ z/\omega - 1 & : |\omega| > 1 \end{cases}.$$

3) Let

$$j_\tau^+(z) := \prod_{\omega \in \Sigma_\tau} \left(\frac{t_\omega(z)}{t_{\rho\omega}(z)} \right)^{\text{sgn}(\omega)}.$$

Theorem: $j_z^+(z)$ converges to a rigid meromorphic period function. In particular, \exists

$$J_z^+ \in H_F^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^x)$$

with $J_z^+(s) = j_z^+ \in \mathbb{R}^x \subset \mathcal{M}^x$.

Daman-Vank: give complete classification of $\mathcal{R}^x = \left\{ \text{linear combinations of Hecke translates of generalizations of } j_z^+ \right\}$.

+ give algorithm for computing J_z^+ .

13

Ex: Explicit examples

Let $\varphi := \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} = \text{RM pt of smallest discriminant.}$

$$\rightsquigarrow j_\varphi^+ : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p \cup \{\infty\} \in \mathbb{R}^x \subset \mathcal{M}^x$$

$$\rightsquigarrow J_\varphi^+ \in H_F^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^x), \quad j_\varphi^+ = J_\varphi^+ \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Remark: - divisor of j_φ^+ concentrated in $\Gamma\varphi$.

- divisor of j is concentrated in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}$

- $\varphi = \text{RM pt of smallest discriminant, } \frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2} = \text{CM pt of smallest disc.}$

\rightsquigarrow " $j_\varphi^+ : \mathcal{H}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p \cup \{\infty\}$ is a p -adic analogue of $j : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ ".

Examples:

(1) Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, $\tau = 2\sqrt{2} \rightsquigarrow H_\tau = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-1})$.

$$- p=3: J_\varphi^+[2\sqrt{2}] \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{33+56\sqrt{2}}{5 \cdot 13}$$

$$- p=13: J_\varphi^+[2\sqrt{2}] \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1+2\sqrt{-2}}{3}$$

} 100 digits of p -adic accuracy.
(5 not invert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$).

$$(2) \quad K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6}), \quad \tau = 2\sqrt{6}, \quad H_\tau = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{-1}).$$

$$- p=7: \quad J_\psi^+(2\sqrt{6}) = \frac{3 + 8\sqrt{2} + 12\sqrt{-1} + 2\sqrt{-2}}{17} \quad (\text{mod } 7^{400})$$

$$- p=17: \quad J_\psi^+(2\sqrt{6}) = \frac{2 + \sqrt{-3} + 3\sqrt{2} + 2\sqrt{-6}}{7} \quad (\text{mod } 17^{400})$$

(3) [Share table: p 42 of Daman-Vank].

→ coeff of ψ is appearing in H_{new} ;

→ primes dividing denominators $J[\tau]$ are small;

→ if $p \mid$ (denom. of q -adic $J_\psi(\tau)$), then often
 $q \mid$ (denom. of p -adic $J_\psi(\tau)$) !!!

§4: p-adic intersection numbers

Finally: analogue of Gross-Zagier's Num on $J_\infty(\tau_1, \tau_2) := j(\tau_1) - j(\tau_2)$.

Definition: $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{H}_p$ RM pts. Let

$$J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2) := \hat{J}_{\tau_1}[\tau_2].$$

The 3.20
of D.V.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \uparrow \text{modification of } J_{\tau_1}^+ \\ \text{under } H_{\tau_1}^1(\rho, \mathcal{U}^1) \rightarrow H_{\tau_1}^1(\rho, \mathcal{U}^1/K_p^{\times}) \end{array} \right. \quad J_{\tau_1}^+ \rightarrow \overline{J_{\tau_1}^+} / \overline{J_{\tau_1}^+}$$

Conjecture: (i) $J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2) \in H_{\tau_1} H_{\tau_2}$.

(ii) If $J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, then q does not split in $\mathcal{O}(\tau_1)$ or $\mathcal{O}(\tau_2)$, and

$$q \mid \frac{D_1 D_2 - x^4}{4} > 0$$

for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(iii) $V_q(J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2)) \sim$ "weighted intersection number" on a Shimura curve for $B :=$ quaternion algebra ramified only at p, q .



switching p and q doesn't change this!

$$\text{so } V_p J_q(\tau_1, \tau_2) = V_q J_p(\tau_1, \tau_2).$$